From: Anthony Mamon
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/15/02 7:04am
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

Dear Judge Kollar-Kotally,

I object to the proposed final judgment on the Microsoft case. The Court has found Microsoft guilty of violating all Anti-Trust rules. Yet, the PFJ (Proposed Final Judgment), the Department of Justice throws out, if not abandons all previous court findings that indicts Microsoft. In fact, the PFJ permits Microsoft to continue with its monopolistic and predatory practices, which in my opinion is a detriment not only to the software sector but also to the technology industry as a whole. Without a doubt, I strongly believe you will receive thousands of similar appeals encompassing the many flaws that are apparent in the proposed final settlement. My main focus entails one fundamental flaw clearly noticeable in the proposed settlement: The PFJ does not effectively break up Microsoft, but in fact allows Microsoft to leverage its current market position, or should I say, Monopoly to expand its business into several other technology markets. Under the general rule, most monopolies in the past are either broken up or carefully regulated. However, Microsoft is given a pardon or a waiver to this general rule of thumb altogether. Also, severe reprimands will not drastically change Microsoft?s existing operation methodologies. Undoubtedly, Microsoft will continue to abuse its monopoly position. Unless something extraordinary is done such as meting out severe punishment, Microsoft will persistently continue to implement illegal business practices. I submit to the Court that the Proposed Final Judgment does not solve the Microsoft issue.

All the Best.

Jun Mamon

San Francisco, CA